

PÉCSI TUDOMÁNYEGYETEM Általános orvostudományi kar

Biotechnology 2018

Immunológiai és Biotechnológiai Intézet

Biological therapies

Vaccine development & Cancer vaccines

Najbauer József

Lectures 17-18; 2018. 04. 05.

Lecture outline

- 1. Vaccine evolution
- 2. Vaccine immunology
- 3. Vaccine antigens
- 4. Vaccine adjuvants
- 5. Vaccine development

6. HIV and AIDS

7. Approaches to cancer immunotherapy

1. Vaccine evolution

Key concepts

- Vaccines have made the second most major contribution to the control and eradication of infectious diseases after the distribution of clean water
- Modern vaccine concepts stem from early empirical approaches to variolation and vaccination
- The germ theory opened the door to a more relevant knowledge-based vaccine development process
- Since the late 18th century, several important techniques to produce effective vaccines have been developed:
 - Attenuation and inactivation of pathogens at end of the 19th century
 - Toxoids and bacterial cancer immunotherapy in the 1920s
 - Use of adjuvants in the 1920s
 - Embryonated eggs to grow viruses in the 1930s
 - Cell cultures to grow viruses in the 1950s
 - Vaccines based on split pathogens or subunits in the 1970s
 - Recombinant DNA approach in the 1980s
 - Conjugation of polysaccharides to protein carriers in the 1980s
 - Reassortment of viral genes in the 1990s
 - Dendritic cell vaccines for cancer treatment in 2010

Hospitalized victims during the polio outbreak of the 1950s



March of Dimes Foundation.

Figure 1.1 During the polio epidemics of the 1950s, entire wards were filled with people obliged to rely on an 'iron lung' due to paralysis of the respiratory muscles. Some patients would remain this way for the rest of their lives.

Child with polio



Karen Kasmauski/Science Faction/Getty Images.

Figure 1.10 Polio has been eradicated in most countries of the world; however, outbreaks still occur in developing countries.

Child with smallpox



Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Bonanni & Ignacio Santos, Perspectives Vaccinol, 2011

The last case of smallpox



Figure 1.2 part 2 of 2 Janeway's Immunobiology, 8ed. (© Garland Science 2012)

Ali Maow Maalin contracted and survived the last case of smallpox in Somalia in 1978. <u>The WHO announced in 1979</u> <u>that smallpox had been</u> <u>eradicated.</u>

Eradication of smallpox by vaccination



Smallpox inoculation procedure in the 18th century



Collection of the University of Michigan Health System, gift of Pfizer Inc. UMHS.23.

Figure 1.4 In 1796, Edward Jenner, a general practitioner and surgeon, inoculated 8year-old James Phipps with material from cowpox blisters of a milkmaid. The boy developed a mild fever and was subsequently immune to smallpox.

Pathogen isolation and vaccines



although viruses would not be directly observed until the 1930s

Depending on availability of appropriate technology, there may be considerable variations in time between <u>pathogen</u> identification - and development of a vaccine - . In the case of smallpox, a vaccine was available long before viruses as causing agents were known. The rabies vaccine was also developed before knowing the causative agent. A pathogen, like varicella zoster virus, may cause different diseases (varicella and zoster) for which separate vaccines have been developed.



Vaccine development timeline from the first practice of variolation. Most of the technologies are still used for the development of vaccines. Plasma-derived vaccines have not been used in most countries since the 1990s

Tetanus case



Neonatal tetanus is still a risk in the developing world. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention.

Effectiveness of vaccines for some common infectious diseases

TABLE 1–1 Effectiveness of Vaccines for Some Common Infectious Diseases					
Maximum Number of Cases (year)	C Number of Cases in 2009	D Percentage Change			
206,939 (1921)	0	-99.99			
894,134 (1941)	61	-99.99			
152,209 (1968)	982	-99.35			
265,269 (1934)	13,506	-94.72			
21,269 (1952)	0	-100.0			
57,686 (1969)	4	-99.99			
1,560 (1923)	14	-99.10			
~20,000 (1984)	25	-99.88			
26,611 (1985)	3,020	-87.66			
	Maximum Number of Cases (year) 206,939 (1921) 894,134 (1941) 152,209 (1968) 265,269 (1934) 21,269 (1952) 57,686 (1969) 1,560 (1923) ~20,000 (1984)	Maximum Number of Cases (year)CNumber of Cases in 2009206,939 (1921)0894,134 (1941)61152,209 (1968)982265,269 (1934)13,50621,269 (1952)057,686 (1969)41,560 (1923)14~20,000 (1984)25			

This table illustrates the striking decrease in the incidence of selected infectious diseases for which effective vaccines have been developed. Data from Orenstein WA, AR Hinman, KJ Bart, and SC Hadler. Immunization. In Mandell GL, JE Bennett, and R Dolin (eds). Principles and Practices of Infectious Diseases, 4th ed. Churchill Livingstone, New York, 1995, and Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report 58:1458-1469, 2010.

Data for USA

Some infections for which effective vaccines are not yet available

. . .

	Some infections for which effective vaccines are not yet available		
	Disease	Estimated annual mortality	
1	Malaria	889,000	
2	Schistosomiasis	41,000	
3	Intestinal worm infestation	6,000	
4	Tuberculosis	1.5 million	
5	Diarrheal disease	2.2 million	
6	Respiratory infections	4 million	
7	HIV/AIDS	2 million	
8	Measles [†]	400,000	

Figure 16.22 Janeway's Immunobiology, 8ed. (© Garland Science 2012)

2. Vaccine immunology

Key concepts

- The human immune system consists of two connected compartments the innate and adaptive - which function via the actions of secreted and cellular effectors
- The innate and adaptive immune systems work sequentially to identify invaders and formulate the most appropriate response; this interaction is crucially bridged by specialized antigen-presenting cells (APCs)
- The innate response, via the action of APCs, sets the scene for the subsequent adaptive response by providing information about the nature of the threat
- Primary exposure to a pathogen or antigen induces the production of a population of adaptive immune cells with antigen specificity that are retained for long periods and provide a rapid response upon subsequent exposure
- The vaccine concept is based on stimulating the body's defense mechanisms against a specific pathogen to establish this immunological memory
- Current vaccine strategies take advantage of immunological mechanisms, and often target the innate immune system and APCs to induce the desired specific adaptive immune response
- Future research is also set to examine ways of making the immune response more effective in generating cross protective responses against different subtypes or strains of pathogens exhibiting antigenic variation

Immunological milestones of particular relevance to vaccinology



Figure 2.1 Progress in vaccine design and technology is underpinned by discoveries in immunology. This is shown by the increasing number of vaccines developed as knowledge of immunity has increased.

Key cellular players of the immune system



Figure 2.2 The innate and adaptive immune systems are populated by many different cells that vary in their roles and responsibilities. CD, cluster of differentiation.

The kinetics of primary and recall (memory) immune responses



Figure 2.8 On first exposure to a pathogen or antigen (referred to as 'priming' in vaccination), the innate immune system must detect, process and translate the threat into a form that can be understood by the adaptive immune system. This occurs via the bridging actions of APCs and takes days/weeks. Following resolution of the challenge, a specialiszed 'memory' cell population remains. The cells within this population are maintained for a long time (months/years) and may remain within the host for the rest of their host's life. On subsequent exposure to the same antigen (referred to as 'boosting' in vaccination), the innate immune response is triggered as before but now the memory cell populations are able to mount a greater and more rapid response as they do not need to undergo the same activation process as naïve cells.



Figure 2.10

- An antigen delivered by a vaccine is taken up by macrophages and immature APCs (**1**).
- APCs migrate to the lymph node draining the site of vaccination (**2**).
- The adaptive immune response is now initiated and effectors, such as CD4+ effector T cells, cytotoxic T cells and soluble antibodies (**3**), are produced which travel throughout the bloodstream and back to the site of vaccination.

APC, antigen-presenting cell

Leo O et al, Perspectives Vaccinol, 2011



FIGURE 1 <u>Classical and transdermal needle-driven delivery in relation to immunology of the skin.</u> The skin is made up of a multilayered epidermis, which serves as the external physical barrier, and the dermis, which contains the blood and lymphatic vessels as well as the nerves. Hypodermal administration can deposit a cargo deep into the muscles (intramuscular), into the subcutis (subcutaneous) or into the dermis (intradermal). Microneedle and nanopatch methods, which use microscopic projections, deposit their cargo either into or just beyond the epidermis, but not deep enough to reach the nerve endings, which are responsible for the sensation of pain. The dendritic cells (DC) of the skin are compartmentalized, with Langerhans' cells and dermal dendritic cells populating the epidermis and the dermis, respectively. Upon antigen capture, these cells traffic to local lymph nodes to stimulate an immune response involving T and B cells. The high density of DC per unit volume and the huge surface area (1.5–2.0 m²) of the skin makes it a highly immune competent and attractive site with excellent potential for vaccine delivery. The illustrations are not to scale.

В

3. Vaccine antigens

Key concepts

- Many vaccines are comprised of whole viruses or bacteria and therefore contain many, often poorly defined, antigens as well as other microbial molecules important in triggering innate and/or adaptive immune responses
- > Where the whole pathogen approach is not feasible or desirable, other approaches are considered, such as subunit antigens that are naturally derived or generated using recombinant DNA technology
- Vaccines containing fewer defined antigens may be less reactogenic but also less immunogenic thus necessitating the inclusion of adjuvants
- Key pathogen virulence determinants usually make excellent antigens for inclusion in vaccines, e.g. viral ligands such as haemagglutinins or inactivated bacterial toxins
- > The final choice of antigen is often determined by what is achievable immunologically and technologically, and what is optimal from a safety perspective
- An immunogen is an antigen capable of inducing an adaptive immune response; an epitope is the highly specific structure or site on an antigen that is recognized by either the surface B-cell receptor, T-cell receptor or soluble antibody

Vaccines and technologies



Figure 3.1 Vaccine development timeline from the first practice of variolation - deliberate infection of humans with material derived from human smallpox pustular material. Most of the other technologies are still used for the development of vaccines. Plasma-derived vaccines have not been used in most countries since the 1990s.



Approaches to vaccine antigen selection

Figure 3.2 Whole pathogenbased vaccines need to undergo attenuation or inactivation processes, while subunit vaccines rely on purified fractions of pathogens derived by physical disruption of whole organisms.

Recombinant DNA approaches to vaccine antigens



Figure 3.3 Protein antigens are produced using recombinant DNA technology, where the DNA sequence coding for the antigenic protein is inserted into an expression system that is then able to produce large quantities of that specific antigen in vitro (**panel A**) or following administration to the host, eg using a DNA plasmid (**panel B**) or a live vaccine vector (**panel C**) as the expression system.

Strugnell R et al, Perspectives Vaccinol, 2011

Characteristics of live and killed vaccines

TABLE 3.1. CHARACTERISTICS OF LIVE AND KILLED VACCINES				
Live attenuated 1	Killed/inactivated 2			
Examples: OPV, MMR, VZV, some influenza, BCG	Examples: IPV, HAV, whole-cell pertussis			
Mimic the natural infection and retain most defensive triggers/immunogenic	Usually require adjuvants due to reduced immunogenicity/			
elements; however, may retain immune evasion factors	missing defensive triggers			
Strong priming usually achieved with 1-2 doses	Multiple doses usually needed for priming			
Long-term persistence of immunity	Booster doses may be needed to maintain long-term immunity			
May induce some mild disease symptoms	Do not induce disease symptoms			
Rare revision to virulence; unsuitable for immunocompromised patients	No risk of reactivation, non-infectious			
Potential for immunological interference with other live vaccines	Low risk of immunological interference			
Less stable over time, heat labile	Relatively stable over time, better resistance to cold			
	chain deviation			
Response affected by recent administration of blood/blood-derived products	Generally not affected by administration of blood/			
or presence of maternal antibody in an infant blood-derived products				

OPV, oral polio vaccine; MMR, measles, mumps and rubella vaccine; VZV, varicella zoster virus vaccine; BCG, Bacille Calmette—Guérin (against severe forms of tuberculosis); IPV, inactivated polio vaccine; HAV, hepatitis A virus vaccine.

('revision' - it should be 'reversion' instead)

4. Vaccine adjuvants

Key concepts

- > Adjuvantation of vaccines is a well-established concept and practice
- Adjuvants enhance and modulate immune responses to antigens. This is particularly important when the antigens are purified and lack intrinsic innate and/or adaptive immune triggers
- Adjuvants differ in the types and magnitude of immune responses they elicit, hence they must be selected in view of the immune response required to induce immunity to a given pathogen or antigen
- Combinations of adjuvants can take advantage of the properties of each individual component of an adjuvant composition
- Adjuvants are a key tool in developing efficacious vaccines to meet many vaccine challenges

Use of adjuvants in vaccines



Figure 4.1 As with all areas of vaccine development, the availability and variety of adjuvanted vaccines has increased with a greater understanding of immunology. The antigen approach employed for the individual disease is given in parentheses. Aluminium salts were the only adjuvant used in licensed vaccine formulations for human vaccines until the 1990s. Several new adjuvants have been developed and used since.

Possible impact of adjuvants on immune mechanisms **1. Recognition of PAMPs** 2. Presentation of antigens to **T-cell receptor** 3. Recognition of co-stimulatory signals 4. Intracellular signalling processes in APCs

APC, Antigen-presenting cell PAMP, Pathogen-associated molecular pattern



Adjuvants: general mode of action

Compared with the same antigen in a non-adjuvanted formulation, the expected benefits of adjuvants are:

- An increased recruitment of innate cells at the site of injection
- An increased number of activated APCs migrating to the draining lymph node
- An increased uptake of the antigen by APCs with a subsequent enhancement and modulation of the adaptive immune response

Garçon N et al, Perspectives Vaccinol, 2017

Properties of adjuvants



Figure 3 <u>The main type of adjuvants with respect to their depot/carrier and</u> <u>immunostimulatory properties are shown.</u> Some compounds can possess both characteristics whereas others possess only one. In addition, some of the adjuvants shown (red background) can have immunomodulatory properties beyond their ability to trigger global immune stimulation, by directing responses specifically towards a T helper (TH) 1 or TH2 response. A third dimension (not represented here) is the specific targeting ability of adjuvants, although carrier/depot activity and ligand specificity can contribute to targeting. ISCOMs, immunostimulating complexes; O/W, oil-in-water emulsion; PRR, pattern-recognition receptor; TLR, Toll-like receptor; W/O, water-in-oil emulsion.

5. Vaccine development

Key concepts

- Vaccine development is a complex multistep process
- > From concept to licensure, it takes many years to develop a vaccine
- Following authorization to market, it may be necessary to provide evidence of economic value prior to governments approving the implementation of a new vaccination program
- Safety is a major issue for any vaccine; it is assessed at every step of vaccine development and safety surveillance continues indefinitely after licensure
- Sometimes an adverse reaction is observed after a vaccination. It is important to determine whether a temporal association between the adverse event and the vaccination is causal, rather than a random chance occurrence (coincidental). Otherwise, vaccination programs are halted for risks that are only theoretical, thus endangering people's health through not being vaccinated
- Clinical and epidemiological studies indicate that licensed vaccines have a benefit-risk profile where the benefits of vaccines clearly outweigh the risks of adverse effects

Vaccine safety is important at all stages of development



Increasing confidence in vaccine safety profile

Preclinical toxicology	Clinical trials AEs of special interest	Periapproval commitments	Post-licensure surveillance
studies	Surveillance	Pregnancy registries	Sustained
<i>In vitro</i> , animal	Overall safety analysis	Post-licensure studies	surveillance
studies, mode of action, single	2	Additional requests for analysis	Cases/cluster detection
dose toxicity, repeated dose		3	Quantitative and qualitative analysis
toxicity, local tolerance, safety			Periodic reports
			4 Label updates

Figure 5.2 Safety is assessed at all points of the vaccine development process from preclinical toxicology studies using cell cultures and animal models through to rigorous assessment in clinical studies. Post-licensure, safety is still of prime concern and is the major focus of post-licensure surveillance studies. AEs, adverse events.

Dendritic cell vaccines

DC vaccines hold great promise for the treatment of cancer, HIV and other chronic infections. Utilising the patient's own DCs, this is truly an individualised biomedical intervention.

Strategy for immunization with autologous peptide-pulsed DCs



3erzofsky JA et al, Nature Rev Immunol, 2001

7. HIV and AIDS

Once upon a time, there was a world without AIDS—it seems so long ago. In the past 3 decades since first recognition of the new virus and syndrome, millions of lives have been lost or thwarted by debilitating illness or by orphanhood, and there is a sure promise of many millions of damaged lives to come.

June E. Osborn, JAMA (Editorial), 2008

Isolation of HIV



Françoise Barré-Sinoussi, PhD

Luc Montagnier, PhD

Hampton, JAMA, 2008

Nobel Prize Physiology or Medicine, 2008

Isolation of a T-Lymphotropic Retrovirus from a Patient at Risk for Acquired Immune Deficiency Syndrome (AIDS)

Abstract. A retrovirus belonging to the family of recently discovered human T-cell leukemia viruses (HTLV), but clearly distinct from each previous isolate, has been isolated from a Caucasian patient with signs and symptoms that often precede the acquired immune deficiency syndrome (AIDS). This virus is a typical type-C RNA tumor virus, buds from the cell membrane, prefers magnesium for reverse transcriptase activity, and has an internal antigen (p25) similar to HTLV p24. Antibodies from serum of this patient react with proteins from viruses of the HTLV-I subgroup, but type-specific antisera to HTLV-I do not precipitate proteins of the new isolate. The virus from this patient has been transmitted into cord blood lymphocytes, and the virus produced by these cells is similar to the original isolate. From these studies it is concluded that this virus as well as the previous HTLV isolates belong to a general family of T-lymphotropic retroviruses that are horizontally transmitted in humans and may be involved in several pathological syndromes, including AIDS.



Barré-Sinoussi et al, Science, 1983

Origin of HIV



Zoonosis: disease communicable from animals to humans

Chimpanzee-to-human transmission events (>3): HIV-1 (M, N, O)

Sooty mangabey-to-human transmission events (>7): HIV-2 (A-G)

Cpz - Chimpanzee

- HIV Human immunodeficiency virus
- SIV Simian immunodeficiency virus
- Sm Sooty mangabey

The HIV pandemic



Figure 13.19 Janeway's Immunobiology, 8ed. (© Garland Science 2012)



- 37.7 million individuals (1.8 million children) living with HIV/AIDS (at the end of 2015)
- 35 million died of AIDS (by the end of 2015)
- 2.1 million new cases / year (5,700 / day)

Research expenditure, > 1 billion (10⁹) US\$ / year HIV, > 310,000 entries (PubMed) AIDS, > 250,000 entries

• 2,115 HIV positive individuals identified (cumulative total by the end of 2011)

www.who.int
Schematic structure of HIV



Figure 13.22 part 2 of 2 Janeway's Immunobiology, 8ed. (© Garland Science 2012)

Accelerated evolution of HIV

Number of protein-coding genes:

H. sapiens ≈ 20,000

Mutation rate in DNA replication: $\approx 10^{-8}$ -10⁻¹⁰ per bp



Reverse transcriptase: <u>error-prone enzyme</u>

HIV

RNA genome size ~9-10 kb 1 mutation / replication cycle

~10⁵x higher mutation rate than in eukaryotic cells

10¹⁰-10¹¹ new virus particles/day/individual

~50 million people infected

Darwinian evolution of HIV at extremely fast rate

HIV vaccine strategies under study (1)

Vaccine constituents	Status	Advantages	Disadvantages
	1 Vaccines	eliciting anti-HIV antibodies	
Viral surface proteins, such as gp120	In phase I and II trials, which examine safety	Safe and simple to prepare	Vaccine-elicited antibodies have failed to recognize HIV from patients
Whole, killed HIV	Not under study in humans	Should present HIV surface proteins in a relatively natural conformation; simple to prepare	Slight risk that preparations might include some active virus; inactivated virus might shed its proteins and become ineffective
Pseudovirions (artificial viruses containing HIV sur- face proteins)	Close to phase I trials	Present HIV surface proteins in a relatively natural conformation	Difficult to produce and to ensure long-term stability

HIV vaccine strategies under study (2)

	2 Vaccine	es eliciting cellular responses	
Live vector viruses (non-HIV viruses engineered to carry genes encoding HIV proteins)	In phase II trials	Makers can control amount and kinds of viral proteins produced	Complicated to prepare; current vaccines elicit modest immune response
Naked DNA containing one or more HIV genes	In phase I trials	Simple and inexpensive to prepare	Some worry that integration of HIV genes into human cells could harm patients
HIV peptides (protein fragments)	In phase I trials	Simple to prepare	Do not elicit strong immune response
	3 Vaccines elicit	ing antibody and cellular respons	es
Combinations of elements, such as pure gp120 protein plus canarypox vector	In phase II trials	Should stimulate both arms of the immune response at once	Complicated to prepare
Live, attenuated HIV	Not under study in humans; being assessed in nonhuman primates	Most closely mimics HIV; may interfere with ability of infectious HIV to replicate	Vaccine virus could potentially cause AIDS

HIV: vast challenge in vaccine development



Rappuoli & Aderem, Nature, 2011

HIV treatment clinic waiting room at Kisiizi Hospital in Uganda



Will HIV infection and AIDS be successfully preventable and curable diseases?

The obstacles are huge, but perhaps not insurmountable.

http://www.avert.org/media-gallery/image-1063-hiv-treatment-clinic-waiting-room-at-kisiizi-hospital-uganda

Features of effective vaccines

	Features of effective vaccines		
1	Safe	Vaccine must not itself cause illness or death	
2	Protective	Vaccine must protect against illness resulting from exposure to live pathogen	
3	Gives sustained protection	Protection against illness must last for several years	
4	Induces neutralizing antibody	Some pathogens (such as polio virus) infect cells that cannot be replaced (e.g. neurons). Neutralizing antibody is essential to prevent infection of such cells	
5	Induces protective T cells	Some pathogens, particularly intracellular, are more effectively dealt with by cell-mediated responses	
6	Practical considerations	Low cost per dose Biological stability Ease of administration Few side-effects	

Figure 16.23 Janeway's Immunobiology, 8ed. (© Garland Science 2012)

7. Approaches to cancer immunotherapy

The immune system

- The immune system is

 <u>network</u> of cells,
 tissues, and organs
 that work together to
 defend the body
 against attacks by
 "foreign" invaders.
- The human mature lymphoid system is comprised of 2 × 10¹² lymphocytes and various accessory cells that include epithelial cells, monocytes/macrophag es, and other antigenpresenting cells.

Tumor growth and evolution



Figure 10-5a The Biology of Cancer (© Garland Science 2007)

Baronchelli A et al, Trends Cogn Sci, 2013

I. Forms of immunotherapy against tumors

Basic principles



Immunotherapeutic approaches against tumors

Weiss T et al, Curr Opin Neurol, 2015

Induction of immune response against tumors

Cancer vaccines: induction of immune response



Palucka & Banchereau, Cell, 2014





Peptide vaccination

 Elicitation of antigen-specific antitumor immune response by vaccination with full-length tumor antigens or short antigenic peptide fragments that are administered intramuscularly, subcutaneously or intradermally together with adjuvants.



Weiss T et al, Curr Opin Neurol, 2015

CAR T-cell design

Chimeric antigen receptors (CARs) consist of an extracellular antigen-recognition domain, which is usually an antibody single-chain variable fragment (scFv), but can also be a peptide or another protein, linked to an intracellular signalling domain — usually the CD3 ζ (CD3 zeta) chain of the T-cell receptor.



Checkpoint inhibitors



Weiss T et al, Curr Opin Neurol, 2015

Immune response against glioblastoma and immune checkpoints



PDL1 expression and lymphocyte infiltration in glioblastoma



Expression of the <u>immunosuppressive molecule PDL1</u> (\mathbf{a}) and <u>sparse infiltration</u> with cytotoxic lymphocytes (\mathbf{b}) are found in the majority of glioblastoma cases.

Preusser M et al, Nature Rev Neurol, 2015

Inhibitors of immunosuppressive molecules

4



Treg cell recruitment and expansion in brain tumors



Indoximod, Methylated tryptophan with immune checkpoint (IDO) inhibitory activity to increase tryptophan levels important for T cell function.

AHR, Aryl hydrocarbon receptor BBB, Blood-brain barrier cLN, Cervical (draining) lymph node CNS, Cantral nervous system **GBM**, Glioblastoma multiforme IDO, Indoleamine 2,3dioxygenase 1 IKKα, Inhibitor of nuclear factor kappa-B kinase subunit alpha IFNyR, Interferon y receptor Kyn, L-Kynurenine NK, Natural killer cell TCR, T cell receptor Tc, Cytotoxic T cells TGFβR, Transforming growth factor β receptor Treg, Regulatory T cell Tryp, Tryptophan

Wainwright DA et al, Front Immunol, 2013

Tumor-targeting antibodies



BiTE, Bispecific T-cell engager 4-1BB, OX-40, Costimulatory immune cell receptors

Weiss T et al, Curr Opin Neurol, 2015

5

Concepts of therapeutic antibodies



Schrama D et al, Nature Rev Drug Disc, 2006

Immunovirotherapy



Weiss T et al, Curr Opin Neurol, 2015

6

Immunotherapy of tumors using viruses



APC – Antigen-presenting cell CD40-CD40L - Costimulatory receptor-ligand pair (APCs-T cells)

CP - Cyclophosphamide

CTLA4 - Cytotoxic T lymphocyte-associated antigen-4 (CD152) GMCSF - Granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor

Dendritic cell-based therapy



7

Immunological synapse



A major communication interface between the innate and adaptive immune systems

Dendritic cells and cancer immunotherapy



Palucka & Banchereau, Nature Rev Cancer, 2012

- Immunotherapy is proving to be an effective therapeutic approach in a variety of cancers.
- Despite the clinical success of a few vaccines for cancer prevention and antibodies against the immune regulators CTLA4 and PD-L1/PD-1, <u>only a</u> <u>subset of people exhibit durable responses</u>. This suggests that a broader view of cancer immunity is required.

Cancer mutations, neoantigens and immunogenicity



Development and phenotypes CD8+ T-cells



Cancer-immune phenotypes



Adenosine O

IDOC

A_{2A} receptor

Amino-acid

catabolism

С TDO Poliovirus

receptor

type 12; IDO, Indoleamine 2,3-dioxygenase; LN, Lymph node; MHC, Major histocompatibility complex; PD-1, Programmed cell death protein 1; PD-L1, Programmed cell death-1 ligand TAP, Transporter associated with antigen processing; TDO, Tryptophan 2,3-dioxygenase; TGF-β, Transforming growth factor β ; TNF- α , Tumour-necrosis factor α ; VEGF, Vascular endothelial growth factor

catabolism

IDO

Arginase 1

TDO

Cytokines



Factors that influence the cancer-immune set point



ARNTL, Aryl hydrocarbon receptor nuclear translocator-like protein 1 ATG16L, Autophagy-related protein 16 B2M, β-2-microglobulin BRAF, Proto-oncogene B-Raf CAF, Cancer-associated fibroblast FcyRIII, Fc y receptor III HMGCR, 3-hydroxy-3-methylglutaryl-coenzyme A reductase IDO, Indoleamine 2,3-dioxygenase IL-6, Interleukin 6 JAK/STAT, Janus kinase–signal transducers and activators of transcription **KRAS**, Proto-oncogene LOX, Lysyl oxidase MHC, Major histocompatibility complex NOD, Nucleotide-binding oligomerization domain-containing protein NSAIDs, Non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs PD-L1, Programmed death ligand 1 RANKL, Receptor activator of NF-kB ligand ROS, Reactive oxygen species TAP-1, Transporter associated with antigen processing 1 TCR, T cell receptor TLR, Toll-like receptor VEGF, Vascular endothelial growth factor $1,25(OH)_2D3$, 1,25-dihydroxyvitamin D_3
Mathematical expression of cancer-immune set point

 $\int (\mathbf{F}_{\text{stim}}) - \int (\mathbf{F}_{\text{inhib}}) \ge 1/\sum_{n=1,y} (\text{TCR}_{\text{affinity}} \times \text{frequency})$

- The set point is defined by the summation of the frequency of peptide– MHC–TCR interactions and TCR signaling in all anticancer CD8+ T-cell clones (mainly, the TCR affinity for the antigen–MHC class I complex) against antigens present in the cancer cells, including neoantigens and cancer-associated antigens, and the endogenous balance of the positive and negative immune regulators that are inherent to each host or patient.
- <u>The aim of immunotherapy is to increase F_{stim}, decrease F_{inhib} or increase TCR signaling to drive progression of the cancer-immunity cycle.</u>

II. Targeting of neoantigens

Tumor antigen processing and presentation on MHC class I



ER, Endoplasmic reticulum TAP, Transporter associated with antigen processing TCR, T cell receptor TIL, Tumor-infiltrating lymphocyte

Types of tumor antigens

Antigen type	Description	Examples of antigen type	Examples of approved immunotherapies for target antigen
Tumour-specific antigens ^{8,9}	 Completely absent from normal host cells Arise in cancer cells from oncogenic viral proteins or nonsynonymous somatic mutations 	 HPV oncoproteins E6 and E7 (HPV-associated cancers of the cervix, anus and oropharynx)^{11,12} Individual KRAS mutations (pancreatic, colon, lung and various other cancers)^{18,19} 	None approved, multiple in clinical development
Tumour-associated antigens ⁹ 2	 Low levels of expression on normal host cells Disproportionately expressed on tumour cells Often result from genetic amplification or post-translational modifications Can be selectively expressed by the cell lineage from which the cancer evolved 	 ERBB2 (some breast cancers and various other cancers)¹⁵⁸ Mesothelin (pancreatic cancer and mesothelioma)¹⁵⁹⁻¹⁶¹ CD19 on B cell malignancies^{27,28} 	 Sipuleucel-T (anti-PAP vaccine, prostate cancer)¹³⁵ Blinatumomab (CD19–CD3 bispecific antibody, ALL)¹³⁰
Cancer/testis antigens ^{13,14} 3	 Absent on normal adult cells, except in reproductive tissues (e.g. testes, fetal ovaries and trophoblasts) Selectively expressed by various tumour types 	 MAGE (various cancers)¹⁶² NY-ESO-1 antigen (various cancers)¹⁶³ 	None approved, multiple in clinical development
ALL, acute lymphoblastic leukaemia; HPV, human papillomavirus; MAGE, melanoma-associated antigen; PAP, prostatic acid phosphatase.			

Correlation of tumor somatic mutation frequency with objective response rates to immune checkpoint blockade



Cancers acquire immune tolerance



CCR2, C-C chemokine receptor type 2 IDO, Indoleamine 2,3-dioxygenase MDSC, Myeloid-derived suppressor cell NK, Natural killer cell PDL1, Programmed death-1 ligand T_{eff} , Effector T cell T_{reg} , Regulatory T cell TGF- β , Transforming growth factor β

Yarchoan M et al, Nature Rev Cancer, 2017



Suppressive Activating

Yarchoan M et al, Nature Rev Cancer, 2017

III. Personalized immunotherapy

Components of cancer vaccines



Neoantigens: targets of cancer vaccines



Potential antigens for use in cancer vaccines differ in terms of tumor specificity and vaccine personalization. <u>Neoantigens are optimal targets for personalized, tumor-specific cancer vaccines.</u>



Neoantigen-based therapeutic cancer vaccines

Typical workflow for neoepitope selection and vaccine manufacture.

- DNA and RNA are extracted from singlecell suspensions of tumor cells and matched normal tissue cells. Somatic mutations of tumor cells are discovered by whole-exome sequencing (WES).
- RNA sequencing (RNA-seq) narrows the focus to mutations of expressed genes. HLA typing is carried out on DNA from normal tissue. The potential antigenicity of neoepitopes identified by WES and RNAseq is assessed by predicting the affinity of the neoepitopes for binding to the HLA type of that individual (using NetMHCpan), thereby generating candidate vaccine epitopes.
- Validated epitopes are selected for incorporation into the personalized cancer vaccine, which is administered to patients in combination with an immune adjuvant.

History of tumor antigens and cancer vaccines



Will cancer vaccines be successful?



Rappuoli & Aderem, Nature, 2011 Modifed: Najbauer J, 2018